

Cambridge International AS & A Level

PSYCHOLOGY
Paper 1 Approaches, Issues and Debates
MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 60

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2024 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of 20 printed pages.

May/June 2024

PUBLISHED

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme

May/June 2024

PUBLISHED

Social Science-Specific Marking Principles (for point-based marking)

1 Components using point-based marking:

• Point marking is often used to reward knowledge, understanding and application of skills. We give credit where the candidate's answer shows relevant knowledge, understanding and application of skills in answering the question. We do not give credit where the answer shows confusion.

From this it follows that we:

- **a** DO credit answers which are worded differently from the mark scheme if they clearly convey the same meaning (unless the mark scheme requires a specific term)
- **b** DO credit alternative answers/examples which are not written in the mark scheme if they are correct
- **c** DO credit answers where candidates give more than one correct answer in one prompt/numbered/scaffolded space where extended writing is required rather than list-type answers. For example, questions that require *n* reasons (e.g. State two reasons ...).
- **d** DO NOT credit answers simply for using a 'key term' unless that is all that is required. (Check for evidence it is understood and not used wrongly.)
- e DO NOT credit answers which are obviously self-contradicting or trying to cover all possibilities
- **f** DO NOT give further credit for what is effectively repetition of a correct point already credited unless the language itself is being tested. This applies equally to 'mirror statements' (i.e. polluted/not polluted).
- **g** DO NOT require spellings to be correct, unless this is part of the test. However, spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. Corrasion/Corrosion)

2 Presentation of mark scheme:

- Slashes (/) or the word 'or' separate alternative ways of making the same point.
- Semi colons (;) bullet points (•) or figures in brackets (1) separate different points.
- Content in the answer column in brackets is for examiner information/context to clarify the marking but is not required to earn the mark (except Accounting syllabuses where they indicate negative numbers).

3 Annotation:

- For point marking, ticks can be used to indicate correct answers and crosses can be used to indicate wrong answers. There is no direct relationship between ticks and marks. Ticks have no defined meaning for levels of response marking.
- For levels of response marking, the level awarded should be annotated on the script.
- Other annotations will be used by examiners as agreed during standardisation, and the meaning will be understood by all examiners who marked that paper.

Cambridge International AS & A Level PUBLISHED

vel	- Mark Scheme May/June 2024
S	Guidance
1	Take the first answer <u>only</u> .
	There is <u>no</u> tolerance.
2	1 mark maximum for an example from Perry.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1(a)	From the study by Perry et al. (personal space):	1	Take the first answer <u>only</u> .
	State the number of participants used in this study.		There is <u>no</u> tolerance.
	1 mark for correct answer		
	40 or 54.		
1(b)	Outline what is meant by the term 'interpersonal distance (personal space)'.	2	1 mark maximum for an example from Perry.
	1 mark per correct point		
	e.g., It is an invisible 'bubble'/boundary around us. We choose who can enter this. This depends on the situation we find ourselves. It is used to regulate how we interact with other people. There are other creditworthy responses		
1(c)	Outline how a participant was allocated to the 'high empathy' group in this study	2	List is definitive. Do <u>not</u> credit 'empathy test'
	1 mark per correct point		
	They completed the IRI/Interpersonal Reactivity Index. Those half a standard deviation away from the mean formed this group/with a score of 40 (or above).		

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2(a)	From the study by Bandura et al. (aggression):	2	Accept sex difference aim.
	Outline <u>one</u> aim of this study.		
	2 marks detailed aim 1 mark brief/partial aim		
	e.g., To investigate whether children imitate the aggressive behaviour of an aggressive model (2 marks). To investigate whether children are more likely to imitate the behaviour of a same-sex model (2 marks). To investigate whether children would imitate aggression of a model in the absence of the model (2 marks). To investigate how children learn aggression (1 mark). To see if aggression was nature or nurture (1 mark). To investigate social learning theory (1 mark).		
	There are other creditworthy responses.		
2(b)	Outline how the response measure of 'aggressive gun play' was defined in this study.	2	List is definitive.
	1 mark for each point listed below:		Aggressive gun Moy. Subject shoots darts or aims the guns and fires imaginary shots at objects in the room.
	Shoots darts Aims gun at object in room. Fires imaginary shots.		

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3(a)	From the study by Piliavin et al. (subway Samaritans):	2	Ignore reference to time taken to help = 0.
	Outline <u>one</u> result in relation to the race of helper when the victim was 'drunk'.		
	2 marks for the result with a meaningful comparison 1 mark for result with no meaningful comparison		
	e.g., There was more same race helping irrespective of the race of victim (2 marks) The victim in the drunk condition was helped more by their own race (2 marks) There was the same pattern of same race helping for ill and drunk victim when victim was white (2 marks) There was more same race helping when victim was black and drunk compared to black and ill (2 marks) There was more same race helping (1 mark) The race of the helper was more likely to be white (1 mark)		
	There are other creditworthy responses.		

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3(b)	Outline one result in relation to the number of males in the critical area and the time taken for the victim to	2	<u>Do</u> accept the group size of 7 vs group size of 3 comparison.
	receive help.		Do <u>not</u> credit male vs female comparisons.
	2 marks for the result with a meaningful comparison/relationship 1 mark for result with no meaningful comparison		Ignore frequency of help.
	e.g., The more males there were in the critical area the faster the latency time for help to be given (2 marks). When the group size was small, people helped faster when the victim was ill compared to when victim was drunk (2 marks). Small groups were slow at helping (1 mark). Large groups were fast at helping (1 mark).		
	There are other creditworthy responses.		

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3(c)	Explain <u>one</u> weakness of this study.	2	
	mark for the identification of weakness mark for explaining the weakness via example from the study.		
	e.g. (Lacks) generalisability (1 mark: identification) this is because it was in New York City only and people in other countries may show different prosocial behaviour/bystander apathy (1 mark: explanation in context).		
	Broke ethical guideline(s) (1 mark: identification) as the participants were deceived into thinking that a real person needed help, but it was fake (1 mark: explanation in context).		
	Difficult to replicate (1 mark: identification).		
	(Difficult to) control of (extraneous) variables (1 mark: identification).		
	There are other creditworthy responses, including observer bias.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4(a)	From the study by Andrade (doodling): Describe what is meant by the term 'attention'. 1 mark per correct point It refers to our ability to concentrate/focus. When we exclude other stimuli (to focus on one thing). Cognitive resources are focused on certain aspects of the environment. We can select to focus on one stimulus (over another). We can divide it between two or more tasks. We can be prepared to focus on a specific stimuli if we are primed to. For example, participants in the Andrade study had to pay attention to a (boring) telephone message. There are other creditworthy responses	3	Credit any example for 1 mark max (including from Andrade). Ignore tautological responses using the word attention. Focus on one specific stimulus/object/person etc. = 2 marks. Focus on a specific thing = 1 mark.
4(b)	The sample consisted of 40 participants from a participant panel. Identify two other features of this sample. 1 mark per correct feature It was an opportunity sample. They had just finished a different study (before being asked to participate in this one) General population. Aged 18–55 years. Paid for participation. Majority female/35 female 5 male/control: 18F 2M/doodle: 17F 3M.	2	List is definitive. Accept 'adults'. Do not accept 'males + females'.

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
5	Suggest at least one application to everyday life of the study by Hassett et al. (monkey toy preferences). Do not refer to more than three applications in your answer. Your suggestion(s) must be ethical. Use the banded marking grid below. If more than three applications given, mark all and credit the best three. e.g., Useful for advertising to focus content. Useful for product development for toy manufacturers. Rehabilitation of monkeys/primates.		5	Annotate in the following way: tick = what plus = how I = what/how implicit (see below) Ignore responses about explaining everyday behaviours with examples. They must be proactive/prospective applications to everyday life, not explanations/retrospective. Do not credit the same how more than once- annotate with REP.
	Marks	Description		Implicit what = used for toy manufacturing
	5	One application suggested in depth and it is clear what the application is and how it will be achieved; OR More than one application suggested in less depth but still clearly showing what the application is and how it will be achieved		Implicit how = make toys sex-specific See accompanying Question 5 grid. Do not credit anything about gender issues and mental health.
	3–4	One application suggested which has some detail with the application identifiable and how it will be achieved; OR More than one application suggested that are brief but the application is identifiable with how it will be achieved.		
	1–2	One or more application suggested that are/is brief but may lack clarity as to what the application is and/or how it will be achieved		
	0	No creditworthy real-world application or description of study only		

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
6	From the study by Fagen et al. (elephant learning): Describe how the elephants were trained to perform the 'trunk up' behavioural task. 1 mark per correct point Used the lure and shaping techniques. This is when the elephant is tempted to perform a behaviour/trunk up. As a reward is placed strategically to help perform the behaviour/trunk up. In this case it was banana pieces a few inches from their (fore)head. The elephant could only reach the banana by lifting the trunk up. If the elephant was adult/too big, the lure was at the end of trainer's arm. The position of the trunk was shaped. The shaping used increasing height until trunk up was achieved.	5	List is definitive. 1 mark for identifying either the primary (banana) or secondary (whistle) reinforcer. The response gets credit if they use reward or banana throughout. Raising trunk into the air correctly, obtained a reward = 1

9990/13 Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
7	Suggest two problems that could arise if children were used as participants in the study by Hölzel et al. (mindfulness and brain scans).	4	Go with the intention of the candidate.
	For each problem (max 2 per problem): 1 mark for identification/outline of the problem (generic) 1 mark for linking it to Hölzel et al.		
	e.g. It can be difficult for children to keep still (1 mark: identification/outline). For an MRI scan to work, the person has to remain still for a period of time (1 mark alternative: identification/outline). Therefore, there may be no valid way of measuring brain density as the scans may never be correct/never happen (1 mark: link)		
	Children may not understand what is being asked of them (1 mark: identification/outline). Therefore, the children may not understand how yoga/mindfulness works (1 mark alternative: identification/outline). As a result, the children may have seen yoga as a game and therefore effectiveness might not have been measured (1 mark: link).		
	There are other creditworthy responses, including children can lie about participation in homework, brain developing so grey matter could increase for different reason(s).		

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
e.c. or we un be un thii 65 lett e.c. The pro-	wo friends, Luis and Ava, are discussing the pplication to everyday life of the study by Milgram obedience). Luis says the study does have application to everyday life, but Ava says the study does not have pplication to everyday life. xplain why either Luis or Ava is correct, using vidence from this study in your answer. mark for a feature of Milgram linked to someone being bedient (e.g., prods, lab coat). mark per correct point made that is explicit to Milgram. .g., Luis and of the study was to test whether Germans are different (1 mark). Therefore, it can be used to help us anderstand why people do bad things such as some ehaviours during the Holocaust (1 mark). As people in aniform/in authority have the power to get people to do anings they would never typically do (1 mark) as in this study 5% of participants administered what would have been a stranger (1 mark). .g., Ava and he study took place in a controlled environment with a set rocedure which does not represent everyday life (1 mark). siving an electric shock to someone for not learning a wordar has no real-world application (1 mark). There have been a creal-life accounts of people having to meet a stranger, make them learn a task that is about word-pairs and then unish them for incorrect answers (1 mark) so there is no any a task like this can then help explain a real-world event we genocide (1 mark) here are other creditworthy responses.	4	If both Luis and Ava feature in the answer, mark them independently and credit the highest score For Luis If the response is all about how military/teachers can wear uniform/dress professionally to get soldiers/students to be more obedient = 2 marks max [can still get the feature mark]. If just a 'generic' chat about everyday obedience = 1 mark [can still get the feature mark]. The idea presented needs to be more retrospective than prospective due to AOs being tested. Go with the intention of the candidate.

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
9(a)	From the study by Baron-Cohen et al. (eyes test):	4	Lists are definitive.
	Describe the sample used in <u>either</u> Group 1 <u>or</u> Group 2.		Accept opportunity sample for Group 2
	1 mark per correct point		Group 1 mean age tolerance is 29–30y
	Group 1 Adults/mean age c.30y. Males. n=15. Diagnosed with AS/HFA. Volunteer sample/Responded to an advert in a National Autism Society magazine. Same socioeconomic class as Group 2. Same educational levels as Group 2. Typical range IQ/average IQ = 115/matched IQ with Group 4. Group 2 Adults/mean age 28y. n=122. From adult community/education classes (in Exeter). Public library users (in Cambridge). Broad range of occupations. Same socioeconomic class as Group 1. Same educational levels as Group 1/broad range of educational levels.		If both groups covered, mark independently and credit the best, placing the annotation REP at the end of the response.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
9(b)	Explain two similarities between the study by Baron-Cohen et al. (eyes test) and one other study from the cognitive approach. Do not refer to the sample in your answer. Use the marking grid below. 4 marks for the similarity, e.g., experimental, lack mundane realism, quantitative data collection e.g., similarity e.g. 4 marks Both Andrade and Baron-Cohen studies were experimental in nature. For example, both studies had IVs. In the Andrade study there were two conditions of doodling and non-doodling. In the Baron-Cohen study the IV was natural and based around if a person had been diagnosed with AS/HFA or not. Therefore, both were trying to establish cause and effect (explanation) e.g. 3 marks Both Andrade and Baron-Cohen studies were experimental in nature. For example, both studies had IVs. In the Andrade study there were two conditions of doodling and non-doodling/in the Baron-Cohen study the IV was natural and based around if a person had been diagnosed with AS/HFA or not. e.g. 2 marks Both Andrade and Baron-Cohen studies were experimental in nature. For example, both studies had IVs and measured DVs. e.g. 1 mark Both Andrade and Baron-Cohen were experimental in nature.	8	Do not credit any answer about the sample. Award L1–L4 for similarity For Level 4 there must be some attempt at explaining the similarity. The other studies from the cognitive approach are: Andrade Pozzulo et al Both had hypotheses that were supported = L2 max. If they state that Baron-Cohen was a laboratory experiment then no credit for it but you can credit features of the experimental method. Anything linked to the sample or sampling technique = L0, placing a X next to the response.

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
9(b)	Level	Description		
	4	The similarity/difference is well explained using both studies as examples.		
	3	The similarity/difference is well explained but only one study is used as an example OR both studies are used briefly.		
	2	The similarity/difference is brief with an attempt at using at least one study as an example OR The similarity/difference is well explained but there is no study evidence.		
	1	The similarity/difference is brief with no attempt at using the studies as examples.		
	0	No creditable response.		

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

	i oblic		
Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
10	Evaluate the study by Saavedra and Silverman (button phobia) in terms of <u>two</u> strengths and <u>two</u> weaknesses. At least one of your evaluation points <u>must</u> be about quantitative data.	10	
	Strengths include: reliability, standardisation, quantitative data, follow-up Weaknesses include: validity (external), sample, ethics		
	Example: in detail (named) The study collected quantitative data which makes it easier to make meaningful comparisons over time. They looked at the change in distress ratings across different scenarios and different time points in the therapeutic process. As a result, they could compare the changes in distress ratings to make more valid conclusions about the progression of his phobia.		
	Example: brief but in context The sample was only one boy with a button phobia which may make generalisation difficult. A person with a different childhood experience or a female phobic may not respond to therapy in the same way.		
	Example: no context The sample was only one person making generalisations difficult.		

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question		Answer		Marks	Guidance
10	Level guidance for Question 10				
	Level	Description	Mark		
	5	 Very good evaluation including the named issue. Thoroughly addresses both strengths and both weaknesses in detail. Selection of evidence is very thorough and effective. 	9–10		
	4	 Good evaluation including the named issue. Addresses strengths and weaknesses but may include three or four points. The majority of the points are in depth. Selection of evidence is thorough and effective. 	7–8		
	3	 Mostly appropriate evaluation but may not include the named issue. Addresses either two strengths or two weaknesses in detail or one of each in detail or all four briefly. Selection of evidence is mostly effective. 	5–6		

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question		Answer		Marks	Guidance
10	Level	Description	Mark		
	2	 Weak evaluation and may not include the named issue. Addresses either a strength or a weakness. Evaluation points are brief. Some points may have no context. Selection of evidence is sometimes appropriate. 	3–4		
	1	 Little or no evaluation. Discussion of strengths and weaknesses is absent or superficial. Selection of evidence is limited. 	1–2		
	0	No creditable response.			